

REMARKS:

Claim 1-3 and 5-17 are in the case and presented for consideration.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of claim 4 and also to include the fact that the cameras are "operative" and "with identical line of sight". This characteristic for the cameras is disclosed in Figs. 4 and 7, which clearly indicates that both cameras have the identical line of sight only in their operative states. In their idle state, both cameras include an angle of 45 degrees or 180 degrees. Only by panning in their operating state do they come to have identical lines of sight. This has also been disclosed on page 8, line 16 to page 9, line 16 of the specification.

Entry of this amendment and favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter C. Michalos
Reg. No. 28,643
Attorney for Applicants
(845) 359-7700

Dated: December 19, 2006

NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.
100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110
Orangeburg, New York 10962-2100

Customer No. 21706

/df